



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,791	06/14/2001	Arzhan I Kinzhalin	SUNMP016	1614	
25920 75	90 09/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP			ZHEN, WEI Y		
710 LAKEWAY	Y DRIVE				
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE,	CA 94085		2191		
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/881,791	KINZHALIN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wei Y. Zhen	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 1005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on).		•			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.7 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	, 	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•			
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 			ince pecause:			
13. Other:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	W Prima	YEI Y. ZHEN ARY EXAMINER				
	M	ren i				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues: Pavela does not discloses "determining whether the obtained setence is testable assertion, wherein the testable assertion describes behavior of an application programming interface that can be tested; performing a determination as to wehter a sentence obtained from the source file represents a teatable assertion; and marking the obtained sentences as testable when the obtained sentence represents a testable assertion". Examiner's response: 1) Pavela clearly disclose these limitation at col. 2 lines 12-17 and col. 6 lines 23-50 ("...tags...are instead associted with the members of the libraary of exectuable teast code objects...the tags...are scanned to identify system elements 132 that are tested by the test case, and to generate a test index...).